

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/017,653 | 12/12/2001 | Robert J. Koziy | 980.2USC1 | 9785 | |
| 7590 10/05/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| DAVID N. FO | | HYUN, S | HYUN, SOON D | | |
| FOGG SLIFER POLGLAZE LEFFERT & JAY P.O. BOX 581009 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1009 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2616 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006 | 5 : | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | .10. |
|---|--|---|--|
| · | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/017,653 | KOZIY ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Soon D. Hyun | 2616 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the covers on the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the covers on the covers | • |
| WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | Since this application is in condition for allowar | action is non-final. | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | :x рапе Quayle , 1935 С.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | wn from consideration. | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachmen | t/e\ | | |
| 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to switching having diagnostic testing having dedicated test line or channel classified in class 370, subclass 251.
- II. Claims 14-45, drawn to switching control having plural buses in a switching system classified in class 370, subclass, 364.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as monitoring for status of circuits. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

Art Unit: 2616

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon D. Hyun whose telephone number is 571-272-3121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris H. To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Hyun 9/28/2006

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600